

## Revlimid®

Lenalidomide and dexamethasone in relapsed/refractory MM MM-009, MM-010



## MM-009 and MM-010: two phase III trials of Len + Dex in relapsed/refractory MM

North American MM-009 (48 centres USA, Canada): Weber International MM-010 (50 centres Europe, Australia, Israel): Dimopoulos



**Primary end-point:** TTP (by Bladé criteria) **Secondary end-points:** OS, RR, safety, 1st skeletal-related event, PS

Additional stratification by  $\beta_2$ M concentration ( $\leq 2.5$  mg/ml vs > 2.5 mg/ml), prior transplant (0 vs  $\geq$  1), and prior MM treatment regimens (< 1 vs  $\geq$  1)



## MM-009 and MM-010: patient characteristics

	MN	1-009	MM·	MM-010		
Characteristic	Len + Dex (n = 177)	Dex (n = 176)	Len + Dex (n = 176)	Dex (n = 175)		
Median age (range), years	64 (36–86)	62 (37–85)	63 (33.0–84.0)	64 (40.0–82.0)		
Males, %	59.9	59.1	59.1	58.9		
Lytic bone lesions, n (%)	NR	NR	136 (77.3)	140 (80.0)		
Median time from diagnosis (range), years	3.1 (0.5–14.7)	3.1 (0.0–19.7)	3.4 (0.4–15.7)	4.0 (0.3–26.6)		
Durie–Salmon stage III, n (%)	114 (64.4)	116 (65.9)	115 (65.3)	110 (62.9)		
ECOG PS < 2, n (%)	157 (88.7)	163 (92.9)	150 (85.2)	144 (82.2)		
Prior therapy ≥ 2, n (%)	109 (61.6)	109 (61.9)	120 (68.2)	118 (67.4)		
β <sub>2</sub> M ≥ 2.5 mg/l, n (%)	125 (70.6)	125 (71.0)	125 (71.0)	127 (72.6)		



# MM-009 and MM-010 included heavily pretreated patients





## MM-009 and MM-010: higher response rates with Len + Dex

#### **EBMT** response data





## MM-009 and MM-010: longer time to progression with Len + Dex





## MM-009 and MM-010: increased overall survival with Len + Dex



#### Survival time (months)



## MM-009 and MM-010: pooled response rates



Weber DM, et al. Blood. 2007;110 [abstract 412].



Dimopoulos M, et al. Haematologica. 2007;92 (Suppl 2):171 [abstract PO-661].



# MM-009 and MM-010: overall survival after adjustment for crossover to lenalidomide

#### Lifetime simulation model of survival

	Mean survival, life years Dex Len + Dex			
1 prior therapy	2.2	5.6		
$\geq$ 2 prior therapies	1.5	4.2		

Lenalidomide delivers significantly larger survival gains
 when adjustment is made for crossover

Morgan J, et al. Haematologica. 2008;93(Suppl 1) [abstract 0441].



## MM-009: grade 3 and 4 adverse events

	Len + Dex (n = 177)		De (n = 1	x 75)
	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 3	Grade 4
Neutropenia	62 (35.0)	11 (6.2)	6 (3.4)	2 (1.1)
Anaemia	19 (10.7)	4 (2.3)	6 (3.4)	3 (1.7)
Thrombocytopenia	24 (13.6)	2 (1.1)	12 (6.9)	0
Hyperglycaemia	15 (8.5)	4 (2.3)	10 (5.7)	5 (2.9)
Infection	33 (18.6)	5 (2.8)	16 (9.1)	5 (2.9)
Pneumonia	19 (10.7)	3 (1.7)	10 (5.7)	3 (1.7)
VTE	21 (11.9)	5 (2.8)	5 (2.9)	1 (0.6)



## MM-009: effect of VTE on survival

- 177 patients enrolled in the MM-009 study were assigned to lenalidomide plus dexamethasone
  - median age 64 years
- Median follow-up was 26 months
- 31 patients (17.5%) had VTE
  - baseline characteristics were balanced for patients with and without VTE
  - previous lines of therapy were also evenly distributed
- No negative effects of VTE were seen on survival (p = 0.4) or TTP (p = 0.7)



## MM-010: grade 3 and 4 adverse events

	Len +	Dex	Dex	
Adverse event, n (%)	(n = 176)		(n = <sup>-</sup>	175)
	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 3	Grade 4
Neutropenia	44 (25.0)	8 (4.5)	4 (2.3)	0
Thrombocytopenia	17 (9.7)	3 (1.7)	7 (4)	3 (2)
VTE	13 (7.4)	7 (4)	6 (3)	2 (1)
Infection				
upper respiratory	3 (1.7)	0	0	0
other	15 (8.5)	2 (1.1)	9 (5.1)	2 (1.1)



# MM-010: non-haematological adverse events



Dimopoulos M, et al. Blood. 2005;106:6a.



**Dex dose adjustments result in better efficacy and tolerability in patients with relapsed/refractory MM (1)** 

#### MM-009 and MM-010: subanalysis

	Len + D		
	Dex unchanged (n = 177)	Dex reduced (n = 46)*	p value
Response, %			
OR	50.8	69.6	< 0.05
CR	13.0	23.9	< 0.01
nCR	19.8	37.0	< 0.01
PR	18.1	8.7	< 0.01
Adverse events grade 3 or 4	, %		
Neutropenia	32.6	23.7	
Thrombocytopenia	6.8	8.5	
Anaemia	6.2	6.8	

\* Dex dose reductions were 40 mg/day, days 1–4, every 2 weeks (level -1); 40 mg/day, days 1–4, every 4 weeks (level -2); and 20 mg/day, days 1–4, every 4 weeks (level -3).

San Miguel JF, et al. Blood. 2007;110 [abstract 2712].



Dex dose adjustments result in better efficacy and tolerability in patients with relapsed/refractory MM (2)

#### MM-009 and MM-010: subanalysis



<sup>‡</sup> Most conservative estimate obtained assuming all censored patients die immediately after the censor date.

Data from San Miguel JF, et al. Blood. 2007;110 [abstract 2712].



## MM-009: longer time to progression with Len + Dex regardless of prior thalidomide



\*p value from log-rank test comparing Len + Dex (no prior Thal) versus Dex (no prior Thal) and Len + Dex (prior Thal) versus Dex (prior Thal).

Reproduced from Weber DM, et al. N Engl J Med. 2007;357:2133-42 ©Massachussetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.

# Celgene

## MM-010: longer time to progression with Len + Dex regardless of prior thalidomide



\*p value from log-rank test comparing Len + Dex (no prior Thal) versus Dex (no prior Thal) and Len + Dex (prior Thal) versus Dex (prior Thal).

Reproduced from Dimopoulos M, et al. N Engl J Med. 2007;357:2123-32 ©Massachussetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.



# MM-009 and MM-010: increased OS with Len + Dex regardless of prior Thal

#### **MM-009**



Dimopoulos M, et al. N Engl J Med. 2007;357:2123-32. Weber DM, et al. N Engl J Med. 2007;357:2133-42.

**MM-010** 



## Subgroup analyses of MM-009 and MM-010: efficacy of Len + Dex after prior Thal

#### • Objective

 to assess the efficacy and safety of Len + Dex in patients who have previously received treatment with thalidomide

#### Patients

- a total of 704 patients from MM-009 and MM-010, including 39% who had received prior thalidomide treatment
- those who had received thalidomide had
  - more prior lines of therapy
  - a longer duration of multiple myeloma



# Lenalidomide is effective after prior exposure to thalidomide

## MM-009 and MM-010: prospective subgroup analysis of patients with relapsed/refractory MM



\* Median 2 prior lines of treatment. <sup>‡</sup> Median 3 prior lines of treatment.

Data from Wang M, et al. Blood. 2008;112:4445-51.



# Longer TTP with Len + Dex than with Dex alone regardless of prior Thal



Reproduced from Wang M, et al. Blood. 2008;112:445-51 © 2008 The American Society of Hematology.



# Len + Dex more effective than Dex despite thalidomide resistance

#### MM-009 and MM-010: prospective subgroup analysis

	T1 (n =	: <b>124)</b> T2 (n = 65		T2 (n = 65) T3 (n		= 44)
	Len + Dex (n = 54)	Dex (n = 70)	Len + Dex (n = 31)	Dex (n = 34)	Len + Dex (n = 20)	Dex (n = 24)
ORR, %	65	17	42	6	50	21
CR	11	1	7	3	5	0
VGPR	13	1	13	3	20	0
PR	41	14	23	0	25	21
Median TTP, months	9.3	4.6	7.8	3.7	7.2	3.7

All differences between Len + Dex and Dex: p < 0.05.

T1 (thalidomide sensitive): responded to thalidomide; no progression during thalidomide therapy T2 (thalidomide relapsed): responded to thalidomide; progressed during thalidomide therapy T3 (thalidomide refractory): no response to thalidomide; progressed during thalidomide therapy



## Len + Dex more effective than Dex alone regardless of prior thalidomide exposure

#### MM-009 and MM-010: prospective subgroup analysis

	No prior Thal		Prior Thal	
	Len + Dex (n = 226)	Dex (n = 204)	Len + Dex <b>(n = 127)</b>	Dex (n = 147)
ORR (CR + nCR + PR), %	64.6	27.5	53.5	14.3
CR, %	19.0	2.5	7.9	1.4
Median TTP, months	13.9	4.7	8.4	4.6
Median PFS, months	13.2	4.7	8.4	4.6
Median OS, months	36.1	32.0	33.3	28.7*

For comparisons between Len + Dex and Dex alone: p < 0.05; \* = NS.

Data from Wang M, et al. Blood. 2008;112:4445-51.



## Len + Dex is safe in thalidomidenaive and thalidomide-exposed patients

Grade 3 or 4	No prio	or Thal, %	Prior	Prior Thal, %	
adverse events	Len + Dex (n = 226)	Dex (n = 204)	Len + Dex (n = 127)	Dex (n = 147)	
DVT or PE (or both)	9.7	4.4*	15.0	2.7*	
Neutropenia	32.3	4.4*	40.9	2.1*	
Thrombocytopenia	10.6	5.4	17.3	7.5*	
Anaemia	10.2	5.4	11.8	6.9	
Febrile neutropenia	2.7	0.0*	1.6	0.0	
Infection	15.5	7.4*	14.2	8.9	
Fatigue	8.0	3.9	3.9	6.2	
Gastrointestinal	5.3	2.0	2.4	1.4	
Peripheral neuropathy	0.4	0.5	3.1	0.7	

For all comparisons between prior thalidomide exposure and no prior exposure in Len + Dex patients, p was not significant. \* p < 0.05 for Len + Dex vs Dex alone.



# Len + Dex more effective than Dex at first relapse and beyond

Prospective subgroup analysis of relapsed/refractory MM patients enrolled in MM-009 and MM-010

	1 prior therapy Len + Dex	1 prior therapy Dex	≥ 2 prior therapies Len + Dex	≥ 2 prior therapies Dex
n	120	121	226	225
ORR (CR + nCR + PR), %	63	27	57	20
SD, %	27	54	33	57
PD, %	3	13	1	15
Overall TTP, months	16.5*	4.7	10.2*	4.7
Median OS, months	29.6	25.0	Not reached	18.2

All differences between Len + Dex and Dex for 1 and  $\geq$  2 prior therapies are significant. \*p < 0.05



## Len + Dex provides higher response rates than Dex at first relapse and beyond

 Prospective subgroup analysis of relapsed/refractory MM patients enrolled in MM-009 and MM-010



Stadtmauer E, et al. Blood. 2006;108 [abstract 3552].



TTP is improved when Len + Dex is used at first relapse compared with use later as salvage therapy

rospective subgroup analysis of relapsed/refractory MM patients enrolled in MM-009 and MM-010



Stadtmauer E, et al. Blood. 2006;108 [abstract 3552].



## Overall survival is improved when Len + Dex is used at first relapse and beyond

Prospective subgroup analysis of relapsed/refractory MM patients enrolled in MM-009 and MM-010



Stadtmauer E, et al. Blood. 2006;108 [abstract 3552].



Subgroup analysis of MM-009 and MM-010: impact of Len + Dex treatment duration on outcome

#### • Objective

- to assess survival benefit with long-term Len + Dex therapy
- to assess the impact of early discontinuation of Len + Dex
- Survival outcomes analysed according to
  - duration of treatment, after achievement of best response
    - $\leq$  10 months (n = 223)
    - > 10 months (n = 98)
  - early treatment discontinuation because of adverse events (n = 42) or withdrawn consent (n = 30)



# Longer duration of Len + Dex treatment and maintenance of best response prolongs OS

#### MM-009 and MM-010: subgroup analyses

Effect of longer duration of Len + Dex treatment after best response

Duration of treatment	≤ 10 months ( <b>n = 223</b> )	> 10 months (n = 98)	p value
Median OS, months	23.4	Not reached	< 0.0001
2-year survival, %	48.4	93.8	< 0.0001

Early discontinuation of Len + Dex treatment associated with poor prognosis

	Discontinued* (n = <b>72</b> )	Continued (n = 115)	p value
Median TTP, months	13.6	Not reached	< 0.0001
Median OS, months	29.5	Not reached	< 0.0001

\*Discontinued because of adverse events (n = 42) or withdrawn consent (n = 30).

Data from San Miguel JF, et al. Blood. 2008;112:[abstract 3702].



CR is associated with better OS and TTP than PR after Len + Dex treatment

## Pooled subgroup analysis of relapsed/refractory MM patients enrolled in MM-009 and MM-010



Harousseau JL, et al. Blood. 2007;110 [abstract 3598].



## Len + Dex as effective in MIM patients with vs without prior stem cell transplant

• Subgroup analysis of MM-009 and MM-010

	Prior ASCT Len + Dex	Prior ASCT Dex	No prior ASCT Len + Dex	No prior ASCT Dex
n	210	204	143	147
ORR (CR + nCR + PR), %	63.3*	23.5	<b>55.2</b> *	20.4
<b>CR, %</b>	13.3 <sup>‡</sup>	2.5	<b>16.1</b> <sup>‡</sup>	1.4
Median TTP, weeks	44.1	20.1	61.4	20.1

For all differences between Len + Dex and Dex: p < 0.001.

\*p = 0.128 for prior ASCT versus no prior ASCT.

<sup>‡</sup>p = 0.483 for prior ASCT versus no prior ASCT.

 In all subgroups, median overall survival had not been reached after a median follow-up of 16.8 months

ASCT = autologous stem cell transplantation.

Chanan-Khan A, et al. Blood. 2006;108 [abstract 3554].



Longer TTP in MM patients treated with Len + Dex vs Dex regardless of prior transplant

Subgroup analysis of MM-009 and MM-010



\*p value from log-rank test for Len + Dex and Dex comparison

# Len + Dex significantly improves response and prolongs TTP in patients with IgA MM (1)



lgene

Foa R, et al. Blood. 2007;110 [abstract 4839].



## Len + Dex significantly improves response and prolongs TTP in patients with IgA MM (2)

#### MM-009 and MM-010: IgA MM versus non-IgA MM

	IgA N	ИМ	Non-lo	Non-IgA MM		
Grade 3 or 4 adverse event, %	Len + Dex (n = 72)	Dex (n = 82)	Len + Dex (n = 281)	Dex (n = 269)		
Neutropenia	37.5	2.4	46.5	14.5		
Thrombocytopenia	16.7	8.5	12.1	5.7		
Anaemia	11.1	7.3	11.0	5.7		

Foa R, et al. Blood. 2007;110 [abstract 4839].



## Subgroup analyses of MM-009 and MM-010: efficacy of Len + Dex in high- and low-risk patients

#### • Objective

- to assess efficacy of lenalidomide + dexamethasone in high-risk and low-risk patients
- high-risk patients: age  $\geq$  65 years, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group score  $\geq$  1, IgA multiple myeloma, Durie-Salmon stage III, and b<sub>2</sub>-microglobulin > 2.5 mg/L

#### Patients

 all those who had received lenalidomide + dexamethasone in MM-009 and MM-010



# TTP is similar in low- and high-risk patients who received Len + Dex



Data from Chanan-Khan A, et al. Blood. 2008;112:[abstract 3701].



Len + Dex is safe in high- and low-risk patients: grade 3 or 4 AEs are similar in each group

- Grade 3 or 4 AEs in high- and low-risk patients are similar to those reported in the overall study population
- Grade 3 and 4 AEs were similar in high- and low-risk groups receiving Len + Dex, except
  - neutropenia

Durie-Salmon stage III, 40%; stage I or II, 28% (p = 0.03)

- thrombocytopenia

 $\geq$  65 years, 17%; < 65 years, 9% (p = 0.03)

 $b_2$ -microglobulin  $\leq 2.5 \text{ mg/L}, 16\%; > 2.5 \text{ mg/L}, 7\% (p = 0.03)$ 

– anaemia

 $b_2$ -microglobulin  $\leq 2.5 \text{ mg/L}, 15\%; > 2.5 \text{ mg/L}, 1\% (p = 0.0001)$ 

Chanan-Khan A, et al. Blood. 2008;112:[abstract 3701].



Subgroup analysis of MM-009 and MM-010: incidences of haematosuppression and DVT decline over time

## Grade 3 or 4 adverse events in patients receiving long-term treatment with Len + Dex



Treatment interruptions and dose reductions also declined during follow-up

Data from Ishak J, et al. Blood. 2008;112:[abstract 3708].



Progression-free survival by age group of Len + Dex vs Dex in relapsed/refractory MM



\*p value is for comparison between Len/Dex vs Dex for each of the age groups

Lonial S, et al. Haematologica. 2007;92(Suppl 2):172 [abstract PO-663].



Response rates by age group of Len + Dex vs Dex in relapsed/refractory MM



Lonial S, et al. Haematologica. 2007;92(Suppl 2):172 [abstract PO-663].



# Adverse events by age group of Len + Dex vs Dex in relapsed/refractory MM

#### Subanalysis of MM-009 and MM-010

Adverse events	Age (< 65 years)		Age (65–75 years)		Age (> 75 years)	
(all grades), %	Len + Dex (n = 192)	Dex (n = 198)	Len + Dex (n = 125)	Dex (n = 121)	Len + Dex (n = 36)	Dex (n = 32)
Neutropenia Thrombocytopenia	40.6* 18.2	8.6 12.6	47.2* 29.6*	4.1 7.4	41.7* 22.2	3.2 9.7
Anaemia	22.0	18.7	43.2*	28.9	58.3	35.5
Febrile neutropenia	1.6	0.0	3.2	0.0	2.8	0.0

\*p < 0.05 for Len + Dex versus Dex using Fisher's exact test.

- Age did not affect the incidence of adverse events
- For all three age groups, grade 3 or 4 cytopenia was more common in the Len + Dex group compared with the Dex group



Equal clinical benefit of Len + corticosteroids in elderly and younger relapsed/refractory MM patients

- Analysis of patients treated with lenalidomide ± corticosteroids within the Extended Access Program Canada
- 64% of patients were treated with Len + Dex, 10% with Len + prednisone, 7% with Len + Dex + prednisone, and 19% with lenalidomide only

	$\geq$ 65 years Len + corticosteroids (n = 41)	< 65 years Len + corticosteroids (n = 28)
PR, %	58	56
PFS, %	43	43
<b>OS</b> , %	74	76

Differences between elderly and younger patients were not significant.

# Celgene

### Len + Dex is superior to Dex alone in patients with normal or impaired renal function



Weber D, et al. Blood. 2006;108 [abstract 3547]. Weber DM, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(Suppl) [abstract 8542].



## Impaired renal function is linked to grade 3 and 4 thrombocytopenia in relapsed / refractory MM

Retrospective subgroup analysis of patients with impaired renal function enrolled in MM-009 and MM-010

	Norm (> 80 ml/	al 'min)	Modera (≥ 30 < 50	ate ml/min)	Sever (< 30 ml/	e min)
Adverse events, %	Len + Dex	Dex	Len + Dex	Dex	Len + Dex	Dex
Neutropenia	31.0	4.3	42.9	5.9	37.5	8.3
Thrombocytopenia	7.0	5.5	19.0	17.6	37.5	>0.0
VTE	11.4	1.8	14.3	2.9	6.3	8.3

Weber D, et al. Blood. 2006;108 [abstract 3547]. Weber DM, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(Suppl) [abstract 8542].



Len + corticosteroids equally effective in patients with elevated vs normal serum creatinine levels

Patients treated with lenalidomide ± corticosteroids within the Extended Access Program Canada, stratified by baseline serum creatinine levels

	Elevated serum creatinine leve (> 89 μmol/l for females and > 109 μmo for males)	ls Normal serum creatinine bl/l levels
n	23	46
nCR/PR, %	61	54
PFS, %	30	50
OS, %	72	76

Differences in responses between patients with elevated vs normal serum creatinine levels were not significant.



#### Adverse events leading to Len + Dex discontinuation in the Expanded Access Program

- Safety population: N = 422
- $\geq$  1 prior therapy
- Median time on study: 7.1 weeks (0.1–24.4)
- Median daily dose: 20.5 mg

	n (%)
Neutropenia	6 (1.4)
Pneumonia	5 (1.2)
Febrile neutropenia	4 (0.9)
Pancytopenia	4 (0.9)



# Adverse events leading to dose reduction or interruption in the Expanded Access Program

- Safety population: N = 422
- $\geq$  1 prior therapy
- Median time on study: 7.1 weeks (0.1–24.4)
- Median daily dose: 20.5 mg

	n (%)
Neutropenia	47 (11.1)
Thrombocytopenia	33 (7.8)
Fatigue	16 (3.8)
Pneumonia	10 (2.4)
Febrile neutropenia	9 (2.1)
Anaemia	9 (2.1)



## Preliminary data from the Italian Expanded Access Program (EAP)

- The EAP was for patients with progressive disease
- Patients were given:
  - lenalidomide 25 mg/day for 21 days of every 28-day cycle
  - dexamethasone 40 mg/day on days 1–4, 9–12, and 17–20 of every 28 day cycle for the first 4 cycles; then on days 1–4 only
  - treatment continued until disease progression or discontinuation
- 221 patients were enrolled at 55 centres
  - median age 68 years (range 43–85 years)
  - median time since diagnosis 5 years (range 1–21 years)
  - median number therapies 3 (range 1–12)
  - prior therapies included bortezomib (27%), thalidomide (27%), and SCT (17%)



Subgroup analysis of MM-016: Len + Dex efficacy in patients with poor cytogenetic prognosis

- Lenalidomide 25 mg per day p.o. days 1–21 and dexamethasone 40 mg per day p.o. days 1–4, 9–12, and 17–20, then days 1–4 only from cycle 5 of each 28-day cycle
- N = 130 patients with FISH data on del(13q), t(4;14), del(17p13)
- Baseline cytogenetics
  - 41.5% with del(13q)
  - 21.5% with t(4;14)
  - 9.2% with del(17p13)
- Prior therapy
  - 53.8% had received thalidomide
  - 45.9% had received bortezomib
  - 73.3% had received a stem cell transplant



MM-016: Len + Dex treatment overcomes the negative prognosis associated with most cytogenetic abnormalities

#### **ORR** according to baseline cytogenetics

Cytogenetic group	Overall	del(13q)	t(4;14)	del(17p13)
Patients, n	130	54	28	12
ORR, %	83.1	76.4	78.6	58.3

#### • Multivariate analysis

- the longer TTP and OS achieved with Len + Dex treatment are not adversely affected by del(13q) or t(4;14)
- del(17p13) remains a predictor of poor treatment outcome



Effect of adverse cytogenetics on the outcome of Len + Dex treatment in heavily pretreated patients\*

- Lenalidomide 25 mg per day p.o. days 1–21 and dexamethasone 40 mg per day p.o. days 1–4, 9–12, and 17–20, then days 1–4 only from cycle 5 of each 28-day cycle
- N = 207 patients
- Baseline cytogenetics
  - 41% with del(13q)
  - 14% with t(4;14)
  - 5% with del(17p13)
- Prior therapy
  - 87% had received thalidomide
  - 81% had received bortezomib



# Predictors of response to Len -+ Dex in heavily pretreated patients\*

Cytogenetic status	ORR, %	PFS, months	OS, months
With del(13q)	43	5.0	10.4
Without del(13q)	71	12.5	17.4
With t(4;14)	39	5.5	9.4
Without t(4;14)	62	10.6	15.4

For all comparisons (with vs without), p < 0.04.

## Haemoglobin < 10 g/dL, progression on thalidomide, and del(13q) were identified as independent predictors of reduced progression-free survival



Eligibility for Len + Dex treatment in relapsed/refractory MIM

- Len + Dex shown to be superior to Dex alone
- This benefit of Len + Dex was seen in all groups of patients, independent of age, disease stage, duration of disease, ECOG performance status, cytogenetics, level of  $\beta_2$ -microglobulin, and renal or hepatic impairment
- This benefit is also independent of type of therapy
- None of the baseline factors are exclusion criteria
- Patients with one previous therapy had greater survival advantages then patients with more than one previous therapy
- Lower doses of Dex result in fewer adverse events
- Reduction of lenalidomide dose is dependent on severity of renal impairment
- Adjustments for mild or moderate hepatic dysfunction or potential drug reactions are not required.



# Economic evaluation of lenalidomide in patients with $\geq 1$ prior therapy

## Use of Len + Dex improves survival and QALYs compared with Dex alone

	1 prior therapy		$\geq$ 2 prior th	erapies
	Len + Dex	Dex	Len + Dex	Dex
Life years (projected mean)	4.54	2.00	3.61	1.41
QALYs	3.20	1.39	2.50	1.00
Average cost, (per patient)	£54,499	£2,126	£44,169	£1,896
Incremental cost per life-year gained	£20,617		£19,218	
Incremental cost per QALY gained	£28,943		£28,18	84



## VTE management recommendations for Len + Dex in relapsed/refractory MM

#### **Risk factors for VTE during Len + Dex treatment**

- Central venous line
- Concomitant chemotherapy
- Doxorubicin use
- Erythropoietin use
- High-dose dexamethasone use
- High tumour mass
- Immobilization
- Ongoing infection/inflammation
- Older age
- Previous VTE
- Pre-existing coagulation disorder(s)
- Thrombophilia



## VTE management recommendations for Len + Dex in relapsed/refractory MM

#### Screening

- No baseline coagulation studies nor screening recommended
- In symptomatic patients sonography for VTE diagnosis recommended

#### VTE prophylaxis

- 4–6 months prophylaxis for patients with risk factors
- No evidence for best prophylaxis
- Low dose aspirin (81–100 mg) or prophylactic dose of LMWH is recommended
- Low-dose warfarin not recommended (risk severe haemorrhage)

#### **VTE treatment**

- Patients can be continued on treatment with Len + Dex or re-treated after stabilization dependent on severity of VTE
- Therapeutic anticoagulation: switch patients on aspirin prophylaxis to LMWH and patients on LMWH prophylaxis to therapeutic doses (6 months therapeutic dose LMWH after which prophylaxis can be restarted)



#### • Monitoring of FBC

- biweekly monitoring is necessary in patients with a normal FBC
- if FBC is abnormal as a result of MM infiltration: full-dose Len + Dex should be tried and at least weekly monitoring
- standard dose reductions for all other causes of abnormal FBC



## Cytopenia management recommendations for Len + Dex in relapsed/refractory MM

#### Management of febrile neutropenia

- consider antibiotic prophylaxis if Len plus high-dose Dex is used
- patient should receive clear instructions to seek medical care within 3 hours if febrile while neutropenic

#### Management of neutropenia

- as a general rule, in case of neutrophils  $< 1 \times 10^{9}$ /l, G-CSF is recommended to prevent dose reduction and febrile neutropenia, aiming at  $> 0.5 \times 10^{9}$ /l neutrophils
- if ANC <  $0.5 \times 10^{9}$ /l: interrupt lenalidomide treatment; restart at lower dose once ANC >  $0.5 \times 10^{9}$ /l

#### Management of thrombocytopenia

- platelets  $< 50 \times 10^{9}$ /l: anticoagulation should be stopped
- platelets <  $30 \times 10^{9}$ /l: lenalidomide treatment should be interrupted and restarted at lower dose once platelets >  $30 \times 10^{9}$ /l
- Management of anaemia:
  - erythropoiesis-stimulating agents should be used in patients with Hb < 10 g/dl and in those who are symptomatic and present with Hb < 12 g/dl. The target is Hb 12 g/dl and should not be exceeded



### Neutropenia management recommendations for Len + Dex in relapsed/refractory MM



\*For each subsequent drop and return to a neutrophil count of at least  $0.5 \times 10^{9}$ /l, the lenalidomide dose should be resumed at the next lower dose.

Palumbo A. Presented at EHA Annual Meeting, 2007 [abstract 265].



Non-haematological AE management recommendations for Len + Dex in relapsed/refractory MM

#### • Rash (grades $\geq$ 2)

- antihistamine treatment recommended; if rash persists, continuous low-dose prednisone (10–20 mg/day for 14 days) should be added
- rash usually self-limiting, lasting for several weeks
- in some cases, lenalidomide dose reduction or interruption is necessary
- Fatigue
  - other causes such as anaemia, infection, depression or hypothyroidism should be ruled out
  - patients benefit from counselling
  - dose reduction may be considered for severe fatigue
- Dexamethasone treatment may predispose patients to infection
  - routine antibiotic prophylaxis is recommended upon starting Len + Dex treatment
  - vaccinations against influenza, pneumococci, meningococci, and haemophilus should be considered



## Economic evaluation of Len and Dex for the treatment of relapsed/refractory MIM

- Objective
  - to estimate long-term health and cost consequences of Len + Dex versus Dex alone in MM patients with  $\geq 2$  prior therapies
- Methods
  - discrete-event simulation of disease course by using response, TTP, and OS estimates based on pooled data from trials MM-009 and MM-010
  - disease-management costs reflective of clinical practice in UK NHS
  - lifetime horizon used to model costs and health outcomes, including survival and QALYs



# Len + Dex is cost effective in the treatment of relapsed/refractory MM

#### Len + Dex delivers substantial improvements in quality-adjusted survival



Data from Deniz B, et al. Blood. 2008;112:[abstract 2400].



## Revlimid®

Lenalidomide and dexamethasone in relapsed/refractory MM MM-009, MM-010