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Scientific programme:

Detection of chromosomal aberrations in multiple myeloma using simultaneous immunofluorescent labelling of malignant plasma cells and fluorescent in situ hybridization (clg FISH)

9:00 – 9:15  Chairman’s introduction  
Roman Hajek, Brno, Czech Republic

9:15 – 10:30  Theoretical part of the workshop
9:15  Overview – „Genetic lesions and prognosis in multiple myeloma“.
Johannes Drach, Vienna, Austria
9:35  Epigenetic approaches in multiple myeloma
Eva Bartova, Brno, Czech Republic
9:55  Experience of Czech Myeloma Group
Zuzana Zemanova, Praha, Czech Republic
10:15  Technique of Light chain-specific immunofluorescent staining of clonal plasma cells and FISH analyses (clg FISH)  
Hana Filkova, Brno, Czech Republic

10:30 – 10:45  Coffee break

10:45 – 12:30  Practical part of the workshop (part I)  
Petr Kuglik, Hana Filkova, Renata Kupska, Brno, Czech Republic
1)  Fixation of bone marrow for immunofluorescent labelling of plasma cells, slide preparation
2)  Sample slides denaturation
3)  Incubation at 37°C with I. antibody (anti-IgL, anti-IgK)

12:30 – 13:45  Lunch break

13:45 – 16:45  Practical part of the workshop (part II) 
Petr Kuglik, Pavel Nemec, Romana Zaoralova, Henrieta Greslikova, Czech Republic
4)  Incubation at 37°C with II. antibody (anti-goat)
5)  Washing, dehydratation, air drying of slides
6)  FISH procedure - DNA probe preparation, hybridization at 37°C
7)  Post-hybridization washing, antifade monting, and scoring of slides prepared one day before
8)  Examination of abnormal signal pattern with fluorescence microscope, acquiring of FISH images and image analyses  
*also continues during the steps 4 – 7

16:45 – 17:00  Chairman’s conclusion  
Roman Hajek, Brno, Czech Republic
**Abstracts of lectures**

**CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS OF CYTOGENETIC ABNORMALITIES IN MULTIPLE MYELOMA**

**Dr. Johannes Drach**  
Medical University Vienna, Department of Medicine I, Clinical Division of Oncology Waehringer Guertel 18-20, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

Phone: +43-1-40400-5457, FAX: +43-1-40400-4461,  
E-Mail: johannes.drach@meduniwien.ac.at

It is well recognized that multiple myeloma (MM) is a B-cell malignancy with great variability in clinical outcome: Median survival times are approximately 3 years with standard-dose therapy and about 4 to 5 years with intensive treatment programs, but survival may range between only a few months and more than 10 years. Therefore, it has been a relevant issue to identify prognostic indicators for the estimation of the individual patient’s outcome. Knowledge of such factors is critical not only for an improved understanding of disease outcome, but also for the development of strategies to optimize treatment, particularly with the aim of risk-adapted therapies. The latter aspect has gained substantial importance due to the availability of “novel” agents for MM therapy.

**Standard clinical and laboratory factors**

In 1975, Durie and Salmon proposed a staging system based upon readily available clinical parameters (serum hemoglobin, size of the paraprotein, serum calcium, and number of osteolytic bone lesions by skeletal radiography). The Durie & Salmon staging system, which correlated with tumor burden and survival, was widely used despite its limitations, in particular with respect to the definition of bone lesions. Therefore, the search for more accurate prognostic factors continued, and several studies identified serum beta-2-microglobulin (β2-M) as a powerful prognostic indicator for survival. However, cut-off levels as well as additional parameters that could be combined with β2-M remained a matter of controversy. As summarized in Table 1, factors related with demographics, features of the tumor itself, and laboratory abnormalities were associated with poor outcome in patients with MM at presentation. Combinations of parameters were proposed for staging and prognosis, but none of the models turned out to be superior to the Durie & Salmon staging system.

**International Staging System (ISS) for MM.**

This background provided the basis for an international cooperative project aimed at the identification of a simple and reliable staging system for MM. Clinical and laboratory parameters from 10750 previously untreated, symptomatic patients with MM were collected (69.1% from clinical trial data). The most powerful classification system was obtained by a combination of serum β2-M and serum albumin (Table 2). This ISS-system was validated in various MM patient populations: It was found to be effective in MM patients independent of age (less or more than 65 years of age), type of therapy (standard dose or autologous transplantation) and geographic region (North America, Europe, and Asia). By now, it is suggested to use the ISS staging system, particularly in the setting of clinical trials. An improved definition of patients at risk is expected in the future by incorporation of genetic and proteomic data.
Genetics and prognosis in MM

Ploidy

Cytogenetic and molecular genetic investigations of MM cells have provided evidence that virtually all cases of MM are characterized by chromosomal abnormalities.\(^ {11}\) Karyotypes from MM cells are usually very complex, but careful analyses of large series have demonstrated that MM can be subdivided into two cytogenetic categories: The hypodiploid/pseudodiploid category (which also includes the near-tetraploid karyotypes) and the hyperdiploid category. This observation was extended by recent data obtained by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) indicating presence of hyperdiploid and non-hyperdiploid MM variants. The hyperdiploid subtype is defined by presence of multiple trisomic chromosomes (most commonly chromosomes 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15, 19, and 21), but a low frequency of IgH translocations. In contrast, non-hyperdiploid MM is characterized by a high frequency of IgH-translocations and frequent loss of chromosomes, especially chromosomes 13, 14, 16, and 8.\(^ {11,12}\) Recognition of hypodiploid MM is also of clinical significance, since MM patients of this category have a particularly unfavorable prognosis.\(^ {13}\)

IgH-translocations

One of the most frequent structural abnormalities observed in MM karyotypes involves the Ig heavy-chain (IgH) gene locus on 14q32, which is usually part of a translocation. Heterogeneous translocation partners have been described, with 11q13, 4p16.3, 16q23, 20q11 and 6p21 being recurrently involved in 14q32 translocations of primary MM tumor specimens.\(^ {11}\) These 5 types of primary IgH-translocations, which are mutually exclusive, comprise about 60% of all IgH-translocations, and are mediated primarily by errors during IgH switch recombination. With respect to biology and prognosis, relevant correlations have emerged: The t(11;14)(q13;q32) resulting in upregulation of cyclin-D1 was originally thought to characterize a favorable group of patients, in particular when treated with intensive therapy.\(^ {14}\) However, most recent results suggest that a t(11;14) does not affect event-free and overall survival,\(^ {15-17}\) whereas presence of a t(4;14)(p16;q32) or a t(14;16)(q32;q23) identifies a subset of MM patients with short survival, even in the context of autologous transplantation.\(^ {14-18}\) Translocations t(4;14) and t(14;16) are also highly correlated with a deletion of chromosome 13q.

Deletion of chromosome 13q

By metaphase cytogenetics, a chromosome 13q abnormality can be found in about 15% of MM patients at diagnosis, whereas interphase FISH studies have shown a higher frequency of 13q deletions in MM, occurring in 39 – 54% of newly diagnosed cases. Several studies have reported a strong association of a deletion 13q with an unfavorable prognosis of MM patients (summarized in\(^ {19}\)). It appears that chromosome 13 abnormalities are a more powerful predictor of poor outcome when identified by karyotyping.\(^ {20}\) The negative prognostic impact of a deletion 13q seems to persist even in the context of allogeneic stem cell transplantation.\(^ {21}\)
Additional chromosomal aberrations

Clinical importance was reported for deletions of 17p13 at the TP53 locus, with similar observations for patients receiving standard-dose and high-dose therapy.\textsuperscript{15-17,22} Comprehensive analyses of cytogenetic abnormalities in MM identified patients with a t(4;14) and/or 17p-deletion as the group of patients with the worst prognosis suggesting that novel approaches are required for the treatment of such high-risk patients.

Studies done by the Arkansas group identified a region on chromosome 1, which was linked with an aggressive clinical course in MM: Global gene expression profiling on plasma cells from newly diagnosed patients treated with autologous transplantation revealed a significant over-representation of chromosome 1 genes in a group of about 70 genes whose expression was associated with poor outcome. Further analyses showed that overexpression of CKS1B was strongly correlated with a gain of DNA copy numbers at chromosomal region 1q21, and that this abnormality conferred a poor prognosis.\textsuperscript{23} As a possible mechanism, reduced levels of p27\textsuperscript{kip1} protein were observed in cases with 1q21 amplification, suggesting dysregulated cell cycle control in these cases.

Gene expression profiling in MM

Today, genome-wide gene expression profiling based on DNA microarrays represents one of the most powerful tools in the area of genomics. This technique has become feasible and broadly accessible, and in MM it is a valuable tool to identify all myeloma-specific genetic abnormalities on a single platform.\textsuperscript{24} When this technique was used to identify genes associated with therapeutic outcome in 221 patients with previously untreated MM, unsupervised clustering led to the identification of four distinct MM subgroups.\textsuperscript{24} Further studies indicated that three genes of this analysis can be used to predict event-free survival. Furthermore, gene expression profiling provided the basis for a novel molecular classification of MM because overexpression of one of the cyclin-D genes was found to be universal molecular feature of MM.\textsuperscript{25} The so-called TC-classification combines the cytogenetic information about the 14q-translocations with cyclin-D gene expression as summarized in Table 3. Patients of the TC4 and TC5 categories have shortened survival suggesting that they should be considered for clinical studies exploring investigational therapies.

Impact of novel agents on prognosis

By now, prognostic factors conferring a poor outcome in MM were defined according to the experience with chemotherapy, with no apparent differences between standard-dose and high-dose therapy (compare all studies referenced above). Recent studies have addressed the question whether or not treatment for high-risk patients may be improved by use of novel agents.

Thalidomide. Prognostic information is available mainly in patient populations treated with thalidomide in the relapsed/refractory setting. Among 75 patients treated with single agent thalidomide, advanced age (> 65 years), elevated serum LDH, and elevated serum creatinine were predictive for inferior outcomes.\textsuperscript{26} In a similar analysis of relapsed MM patients treated with thalidomide-based regimens, elevated serum LDH, advanced ISS-stage, and reduced performance status were independent predictive factors for survival.\textsuperscript{27} Based on these three variables, a scoring system was developed with survival times of 38.1, 28.8, and 5.8 months for scores 0, 1, and 2, respectively. The authors concluded that the addition of LDH and performance status to the prognostic information provided by the ISS may help select patients who will likely derive benefit from treatment with thalidomide-based regimens.
According to the experience of the Arkansas-Group (phase 2 trial of single agent thalidomide in 169 patients with pretreated MM), favorable survival rates were observed in patients with normal metaphase cytogenetics, low proliferative activity (plasma cell labeling index < 0.5%) and serum $\beta_2$-M below 3 mg/L. Overall, these results suggested that prognostic factors for treatment with thalidomide are similar to those observed with chemotherapy.

**Bortezomib.** In patients enrolled into the SUMMIT-trial, potential association between baseline-characteristics and outcome were explored. By multivariate analysis, two parameters emerged as being significantly associated with lower response: Age > 65 years and plasma cell infiltration > 50%. Parameters predicting for shortened overall survival were low serum albumin, bone marrow plasma cell infiltration > 50%, and thrombocytopenia. Of particular note, elevated serum $\beta_2$-M and presence of a chromosome 13q deletion (tested in a subset of study patients) were not predictive of poor outcome with bortezomib in this clinical trial.

Among patients treated in the APEX trial, a matched-pair analysis was performed between 21 patients with a deletion 13q (metaphase analysis) and 41 patients without this deletion. Patients were balanced for other adverse prognostic factors including age, lines of prior therapy, $\beta_2$-M, and albumin. Presence of a chromosome 13q-deletion was associated with a markedly decreased survival in the dexamethasone-arm; in contrast, in the bortezomib arm, deletion 13q was not associated with a difference in survival or response rate.

In our own analysis of 51 patients with relapsed/refractory MM, treatment with single agent bortezomib resulted in similar response rates and duration of response in patients with and without a chromosome 13q-deletion. Serum $\beta_2$-M did not emerge as a relevant parameter associated with treatment outcome after bortezomib (lack of prognostic information for response rate, time to treatment failure, and overall survival). Low serum albumin correlated with short time to treatment failure and poor overall survival, and low albumin identified also those patients with a deletion 13q who did not benefit from treatment with bortezomib.

Thus, although additional data from prospective clinical trials are needed, existing data indicate that prognostic factors established from chemotherapy trials cannot be uniformly applied to patient populations treated with bortezomib.

**Conclusions and future directions**

During the past decade, considerable progress has been made in our understanding of the molecular basis and biology of MM. Molecular genetic analyses and gene expression profiling have contributed to the recognition of distinct subtypes of MM with different prognosis. Both cytogenetic and molecular findings are correlated with laboratory and clinical characteristics, and we are beginning to use this information as diagnostic and prognostic indicators for the selection of treatment options. Clinical trials are under way to examine the therapeutic efficacy of agents targeting specific molecular defects in myelomatous plasma cells. It is hoped that novel molecular structures will continue to be discovered for specific therapeutic interventions. Standardization of techniques like gene expression profiling will become helpful in predicting response to therapy and eventually tailoring of therapy to specific molecular MM entities. These advances will hopefully result in further improvements of our therapeutic strategies for patients with MM.
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Table 1. Summary of prognostic factors

| Demographic factors:                  | Advanced age (> 70 years)$^2$  
| Standard-dose chemotherapy > 12 months$^3$ |
| Features of the tumor clone:          | IgA isotype$^{3,4}$  
|                                        | Increased proliferative activity (high labeling index, high S-phase)$^{1,5,6}$  
|                                        | Chromosomal abnormalities$^{13-23}$  
|                                        | High microvessel density$^7$  
| Laboratory abnormalities:             | Anemia (hemoglobin < 10 g/dL)$^2$  
|                                        | Elevated creatinine$^2$  
|                                        | High serum LDH$^8$  
|                                        | High serum CRP$^9$  
|                                        | Low serum albumin$^{10}$  
|                                        | High serum beta-2-microglobulin$^{2-5,10}$  

Recommendations for FISH in multiple myeloma

These recommendations arose from a workshop organised for the European Myeloma Network, held at the Royal Marsden Hospital, London on March 11th 2005. 31 European laboratories were represented at the meeting.

These recommendations are intended to apply only to newly diagnosed cases of myeloma or frank relapse cases. The use of FISH to monitor response to high dose therapy, or to study diseases such as MGUS or primary amyloidosis where only a small proportion of the plasma cells may belong to the abnormal clone is still considered to be a research tool, and different criteria may need to be used.

The purpose of the workshop was to agree rules for FISH in myeloma but consideration was also given to conventional cytogenetic studies. It was agreed that these should not be discouraged but that, especially in a multi-centre setting, full cytogenetic studies were often impracticable due to the poor quality of samples (see below) and the poor ratio of number of man-hours required for the analysis to the number of patients on whom an abnormal result is obtained.

It was felt very strongly that much still needs to be learned about the significance of chromosome abnormalities in myeloma. For this reason, FISH results should not yet be used to make treatment decisions, except in the context of a clinical trial.

Material for FISH.

All laboratories, particularly those involved in multi-centre studies, confirmed that there are major problems with the quality of the bone marrow aspirates received for FISH studies; these frequently contain drastically fewer plasma cells than the corresponding smear used for morphological assessment. It is difficult for clinicians to accept that a normal FISH result from a patient that had 80% plasma cells on the morphology slide could be meaningless, but that is the reality.

Modern haematologists should accept that diagnosis and management depends on a multidisciplinary approach so that it is important to ensure that there is suitable material for all necessary tests, and morphology is not supreme. Clinicians should therefore be encouraged to send part of the first draw of the aspirate for FISH studies, and should certainly be told that the needle must be repositioned for further aspiration, rather than simply continuing to withdraw marrow blood from the initial puncture site.

Even if these measures are put in place, many samples will still have relatively low plasma cell percentages. For this reason it is not acceptable to report FISH results in myeloma without either concentrating the plasma cells or employing some means of plasma cell identification so that only these cells are scored.

Timing of samples.

It is important that aspirates are processed as soon as possible if FISH is to be acceptable in myeloma. Processing, either by purification or by simultaneous staining of cytoplasmic immunoglobulin with FISH (cIgFISH) is time-consuming. It is therefore strongly recommended that marrow aspirates are not performed on a Friday.

Table 2. International Staging System (ISS) for multiple myeloma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>% of patients</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Median survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| I     | 28            | beta-2-microglobulin < 3.5 mg/L  
              albumin ≥ 3.5 g/dL | 62 months |
| II    | 33            | beta-2-microglobulin < 3.5 mg/L  
              albumin < 3.5 g/dL  
              or  
              beta-2-microglobulin 3.5 – 5.5 mg/L | 44 months |
| III   | 39            | beta-2-microglobulin ≥ 5.5 mg/L  | 29 months |

Table 3. TC molecular classification of MM as proposed by Bergsagel and Kuehl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Translocation</th>
<th>Gen(s)</th>
<th>CyclinD</th>
<th>Ploidya</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC1</td>
<td>t(11;14)(q13;q32)</td>
<td>cyclinD1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t(6;14)/p21;q32</td>
<td>cyclinD3</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC3</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>H = NH</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC4</td>
<td>t(4;14)(p16;q32)</td>
<td>fgfr3/mmsset</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>NH &gt; H</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC5</td>
<td>t(14;16)(q32;q23)</td>
<td>c-maf</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t(14;20)(q32;q11)</td>
<td>mafB</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) NH, non-hyperdiploid; H, hyperdiploid.
EPGENETIC APPROACHES IN MULTIPLE MYELOMA

Eva Bartova¹, Jana Krejci¹, Roman Hajek², Jana Smejkalova², Andrea Harnicarova¹, Stanislav Kozubek¹

¹ Institute of Biophysics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Královopolská 135, 612 65 Brno, Czech Republic
² Department of Internal Medicine, Hematologic Oncology, Masaryk University Hospital, Jihlavská 20, 625 00 Brno, Czech Republic

Introduction:
DNA methylation and posttranslational modifications of N-terminal histone tails are considered to be important epigenetic markers of chromatin. Tumour cells are characterized not only by karyotype instability but also by changes in their epigenetic profiles such as histone acetylation, methylation, ubiquitination and phosphorylation. These chromatin modifications play an important role in tumour growth-associated gene expression regulation. In our experiments, we are interested in the studies of epigenetic patterns in multiple myeloma (MM) that is considered as a clonal disease of human plasma cells.

Methods:
In myeloma cell lines affected by selected cytostatic treatments, and in patients suffering from MM, we analysed the levels of methylation and acetylation of histone H3 at the position of lysine 9 (K9). These epigenetic marks were determined in coding regions and the promoters of the c-myc and CCND1 genes that are considered as a fundamental prognostic factors of MM. Our analyses were performed using chromatin immunoprecipitation combined with PCR (ChIP-PCR) and changes in the total nuclear levels of selected histone modifications were determined using Western blots.

Preliminary results:
In myeloma cell lines, we have observed a high degree of histone acetylation in selected genomic regions and in the majority of the analysed cases, H3(K9) dimethylation was not found in both the c-myc and CCND1 genes. H3(K9) acetylation was reduced when the genes studied were down regulated. Decreased total nuclear level of H3(K9) acetylation was accompanied by an increased level of H3(K9) dimethylation. In selected patient, regions analysed were densely H3(K9) acetylated and dimethylated in CD138⁺ fraction; however, CD138⁻ fraction lacked both epigenetic markers.

Conclusions:
Our results showed that H3(K9) acetylation and H3(K9) dimethylation alter according to the gene expression profiles. In comparison with myeloma cell lines analysed, the histone modifications studied were variable in given genomic regions of selected patients, which is probably a consequence of distinct cytogenetic profiles.
MOLECULAR CYTOGENETIC STUDY OF MULTIPLE MYELOMA. EXPERIENCE OF CZECH MYELOMA GROUP (CMG).

Zemanova Zuzana¹, Michalova Kyra¹.², Tajtlova Jana¹, Pavlistova Lenka¹, Oltova Alexandra³, Filkova Hana¹, Kuglik Petr³, Jarosova Marie⁴, Holzerova Milena⁴, Rabasova Jana⁵, Hruba Martina⁶

¹Center of Oncologic cytogenetics, Institute of Clinical Biochemistry and Laboratory Diagnostics, General Faculty Hospital and 1st Medical Faculty of Charles University, Prague; ²Institute of Hematology and Blood Transfusion, Prague; ³Department of Medical Genetics, Faculty Hospital Brno; ⁴Department of Hematologic Oncology, Faculty Hospital Olomouc; ⁵Department of Medical Genetics, Faculty Hospital Hradec Králové; ⁶Institute of Medical Genetics, Faculty Hospital Plzeň; Czech Republic.

Clonal chromosomal abnormalities are one of the most important independent prognostic factors in patients with multiple myeloma (MM). The most frequent and reliable cytogenetic indicators are chromosome 13q deletions (adverse prognosis), and translocations involving the immunoglobulin heavy chain gene (IgH) at 14q32 region. IgH gene is involved in translocations with different partner genes and these rearrangements are mostly related to a very poor prognosis. The only exception is translocation t(11;14)(q13;q32) which is often connected with longer overall survival and therefore considered to be a favorable prognostic factor. The aim of the study was to assess the frequency of the most important chromosomal aberrations in immunofluorescently labeled plasma cells of patients with MM by interphase fluorescence in situ hybridization (I-FISH), and to establish correlation between molecular cytogenetics and other clinical and laboratory prognostic factors.

We examined 187 newly diagnosed patients with MM by conventional G-banding technique and by I-FISH. All patients were included in the CMG 2002 study. We focused on detection of aberrations of 13q, IgH gene rearrangements, and t(11;14)(q13;q32) translocation. I-FISH analyses were done on plasma cells labeled by the Amca Anti-Human kappa-chain, Amca Anti-Human lambda-chain and Amca Anti-goat IgG monoclonal antibodies (Vector Laboratories). I-FISH was done by locus-specific DNA probes (Abbott-Vysis, Des Plaines, Illinois, USA). G-banding revealed abnormal karyotypes in 21% of patients, I-FISH detected chromosomal aberrations in 85% cases. Abberations of chromosome 13 were found in 53.3% of patients. Deletion of 13q14 was found in in 47.4% of all Δ13 positive cases and monosomy 13 was identified in other 51.5% of them. Combination of of del(13)(q14)/monosomy 13 was proved in 6.2% of patients of this cohort. Aberrations of IgH gene were proved in 62.2% of patients. t(11;14)(q13;q32) was seen in 24.5% of them and other translocations affecting 14q32 region in 26.5% of cases. Besides translocations, different variants of total and/or partial deletions of IgH gene were detected in 37.3% of patients. In 8.8% of patients we found clones with translocation and deletion of 14q32 simultaneously. Method of immunofluorescent labelling of plasma cells allows higher detection of chromosomal changes by I-FISH technique and therefore correct diagnosis and prognosis of the disease can be achieved.

Supported by grant IGA NR/8183-4.
TECHNIQUE OF LIGHT CHAIN-SPECIFIC IMMUNOFLOURESCENT STAINING OF CLONAL PLASMA CELLS AND FISH ANALYSES (CIG FISH)

H. Filkova¹, P. Kuglik², R. Kupska¹, A. Oltova¹, J. Smejkalova³, R. Hajek³

¹ Department of Medical Genetics, University Hospital Brno, Czech Republic
² Department of Genetics and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic
³ Departments of Internal Medicine, Hematologic Oncology, and Clinical Hematology, University Hospital Brno, Czech Republic

MULTIPLE MYELOMA (MM) is characterized by monoclonal proliferation of plasma cells and represents approximately 10% of all hematologic malignancies. The clinical course of patients with MM is highly variable. Reliable genetic indicators are therefore required for their stratification and for more adequate therapy. The metaphase cytogenetic studies in MM are hampered by a low proliferative activity of myeloma cells in vitro. Therefore, interphase fluorescent in situ hybridization (I-FISH) using specific DNA probes is the technique of choice for the determination of genomic aberrations associated with this disease. However, I-FISH on bone marrow specimens do not distinguish malignant plasma cells. In order to solve this problem, various novel techniques have been designated for selection of myeloma cells. Immunofluorescent labelling of malignant plasma cells is suitable approach for the diagnosis of chromosomal abnormalities in patients with MM. This method allows selection of monotypic plasma cells by monoclonal antibody fluorescence (anti-kappa or anti-lambda) and detection of chromosomal abnormalities by interphase FISH (cIg FISH). Another possible way of detection plasma cells is their purification on magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS). In our presentation we show single steps of immunofluorescent labelling procedure and we compare the results of cytogenetic analyses performed by cIg-FISH method with FISH analyses performed on MACS. According to our experience, based on our research and present conditions of our laboratory, the cIg FISH represents cheaper and more effective method than MACS.

Supported by NR 8183/4 and MSM0021622415 grants.
Methodology

Protocol for the identification of malignant plasma cells in bone marrow samples using simultaneous staining of cytoplasmic immunoglobulin with FISH (c Ig FISH)

Johannes Drach and Jutta Ackermann – personal communication.

Reagents and equipment:

Solutions:
3 : 1 methanol-acetic acid fix, 96 % ethanol fix, 10 mM citrate buffer - citric acid (1g/500ml H₂O) / natrium citrat (1,45g/500ml H₂O) mix 1:1; pH 6,0, PBS solution, ethanol (70 %, 80%, 96 %), 0,5 x SSC, antifade solution (0,01 % p-phenylendiammine dissolved in glycerol/PBS buffer pH=8,0, 1:1)

Antibodies used (Vector Laboratories)
- Amca Anti-Human Kappa Chain, Catalog No. Cl-3060, 1 : 10 (diluted in PBS)
- Amca Anti-Human Lambda Chain, Catalog No. Cl-3070, 1 : 10 (diluted in PBS)
- Amca Anti-Goat IgG (H + L), Catalog No. Cl-5000, 1 : 20 (diluted in PBS)

DNA Probe used:
- del(13q14)/monosomy 13 (Vysis-Abbott LSI RB1 DNA Probe/ Vysis – Abbott LSI 13q34 DNA Probe)

Digital Image Analysis
- Fluorescence Microscope Olympus BX-61
- CCD Camera Cohu 4910
- Software: Lucia 4.80 – KARYO/FISH/CGH, Laboratory Imaging, s.r.o., Prague, Czech Republic
**Method:**
(this protocol is for use with Vysis-Abbott DNA probes)

cIg FISH is carried out on bone marrow (BM) aspirate. BM samples are cultured in Panserin 441 medium for 24 hours at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. The cells are harvested using hypotonic potassium chloride, fixed by methanol/glacial acetic acid (3:1), and then stored at -20 °C.

1. Collects the fixed bone marrow cells by centrifugation at 1500 rpm for 10 min
2. Remove the supernatant, and add 8 ml of 96 % ethanol. Resuspend sediment and incubate 15 min at room temperature
3. Repeat step 1 and 2
4. Resuspend the pellet in 96 % ethanol and place a drop of fixed cells onto a cleaned microscope slide. Let slides air dry, and examine under phase contrast microscope to check cell density
5. Incubate the slides 10 min in glass Coplin jar with 10 mM citrate buffer prewarmed at 95 °C (water bath)
6. After incubation, remove Coplin jar from water bath and leave cool at room temperature for 30 min
7. Wash slides twice for 2 min in PBS solution (at room temperature)
8. Apply 150 μl of diluted Amca Anti-Human Kappa Antibody or Amca Anti-Human Lambda Antibody onto each slide, and incubate under plastic cover slip in humified chamber for 1 hour (1 – 1,5 hour)
9. Wash slides twice for 2 min in PBS solution (at room temperature)
10. Apply 150 μl of diluted Amca Anti-Goat Antibody and incubate under glass cover slip in wet chamber for 1 hour (1 – 1,5 hour)
11. Wash slides twice for 2 min in PBS solution (at room temperature)
12. View slides under fluorescent microscope to check staining of cytoplasmic immunoglobulin
13. Dehydrate slides by serial ethanol washing (70 %, 80 %, 96 %) for 2 min
14. DNA Probe Preparation: denatured probe - manufacturer´s protocol is recommended
15. Hybridisation: add 10 μl of DNA Probe per 22 x 22 mm slide area. Apply glass cover slip and seal with rubber cement. Incubate 16 hour in a humified chamber.
16. Post-hybridisation wash: wash slides in 0,5 x SSC for 4 min at 72 °C, and leave slides in the dark to dry
17. Pipette 10 μl of antifade solution onto each slide, overlay with cover slip and analyze under fluorescent microscope
18. Score 100 Amca positive plasma cells for each patients
Examples of cIg - FISH

Illustrations of clonal plasma cells in bone marrow sample labelled using light chain-specific immunofluorescent detection (cIg). The malignant plasma cells can be distinguished by the intense blue fluorescence of the cytoplasma.

Abnormal malignant plasma cell hybridized with the LSI 13q14 (red)/13q34 (green) probes. The cell shows deletions of one copy of the 13q14 regions of chromosome 13 as indicated by the single red signal. Green signals enable the differentiation of the interstitial deletions from loss of the entire q arms.
Abnormal malignant plasma cell hybridized with LSI IGH (14q32) probe. This signal pattern indicates 14q32 translocation.

Abnormal malignant plasma cell hybridized with the LSI IGH 14q32 (green) / CCND 11q13 (red) probe. The cell shows the one red (CCND1), one green (IgH), two-fusion (der (11) and der (14)) signal pattern indicative of a t(11;14).
Cryoconservation of bone marrow sample for subsequent molecular genetic and cytogenetic analyses

Material
5 ml of bone marrow heparinized

Solutions
- Hanks’ Balanced Salt solution, SIGMA, No. H-6648, 500 ml, store at laboratory temperature until expiration date
- Albumin human 20%, Grifols, No. 59/361/91-C, 100 ml, store at 2 – 8 °C until expiration date
- Histopaque-1077 (density 1,077 g.ml
\(^{-1}\)), SIGMA, No. H-8899, 500 ml, endotoxin tested, store at 2 – 8 °C until expiration date
- Ethanol 70%, 250 ml, store at laboratory temperature
- Carnoy’s solution (fixative): Acetic acid 99% : methanol, 1:3 vol.

EQUIPMENT
- Refrigerated centrifuge
- Haematological analyzer or Bürker cell
- Laminar box
- Automatic pipettes
- Syringes 20 ml

EXPENDABLE SUPPLIES
- Sterile syringes, 20 ml and 10 ml
- Pasteur pipette sterile, 3 ml
- Sterile tubes, 50 ml
- Laboratory gloves
- Sterile tips for pipettes — 10–200 μl, 200–1000 μl, 1-5 ml
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MULTIPLE MYELOMA (MM) is characterized by monoclonal proliferation of plasma cells and represents approximately 10% of all hematologic malignancies. The clinical course of patients with MM is highly variable. Reliable genetic indicators are therefore required for their stratification and for more adequate therapy.

The metaphase cytogenetic studies in MM are hampered by a low proliferative activity of myeloma cells in vitro. Therefore, interphase fluorescent in situ hybridization (I-FISH) using specific DNA probes is the technique of choice for the determination of genomic aberrations associated with this disease.

However, I-FISH on bone marrow specimens do not distinguish malignant plasma cells. In order to solve this problem, various novel techniques have been designated for selection of myeloma cells. Immunofluorescent labelling of malignant plasma cells is suitable approach for the diagnosis of chromosomal abnormalities in patients with MM. This method allows selection of monotypic plasma cells by monoclonal antibody fluorescence (anti-kappa or anti-lambda) and detection of chromosomal abnormalities by interphase FISH (cIg FISH).

Another possible way of detection plasma cells is their purification on magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS). In our presentation we show single steps of immunofluorescent labelling procedure and we compare the results of cytogenetic analyses performed by cIg-FISH method with FISH analyses performed on MACS.

According to our experience, based on our research and present conditions of our laboratory, the cIg FISH represents cheaper and more effective method than MACS.

Supported by NR 8183/4 and MSM0021622415 grants.
A. ISOLATION OF MONONUCLEAR CELLS FROM BONE MARROW (MNC)

Work sterile in a laminar box.

1) Put the bone marrow into sterile tube and add the same amount of Hanks' solution. Mix thoroughly.
2) Gradient density centrifugation:
   • Layer using 20 ml syringe with needle:
     1. Histopaque
       • Max. volume in one 50 ml tube is 30 ml of sample and 15 ml of Histopaque.
       • Centrifugation 400G / 35 min/ 4 °C or 200 G / 45 min / laboratory temperature
3) After centrifugation aspirate the layer containing the MNC (above the Histopaque medium) by the Pasteur pipette and put it into a new sterile tube. Note: The layer could not be visible.
4) Add a redundant amount of the Hanks' solution to the tube.
5) Centrifugation 300 G / 10 min / 4 °C or 400 G / 8 min / laboratory temperature.
6) After centrifugation waste the supernatant and resuspend the sediment in Hanks' solution. Final volume should be about 5 ml. Measure the cellularity.

B. THE TREATMENT OF MNC FOR FISH

1. Aliquote the isolated mononuclear cells:
   • $1 \times 10^6$ MNC for DNA analysis 1 tube - 80 °C
   • $1 \times 10^6$ MNC for RNA analysis 1 tube - 80 °C
   • $2 \times 10^6$ MNC in Carnoy's fixative for FISH 1 tube - 20 °C

2. Process the aliquots:
   • **MNC for DNA analysis:**
     Centrifuge 500 G / 10 min / laboratory temperature
     Waste supernatant
     Label the cryotube by the code due to the evidence paper
     Freeze the sediment in cryotube to − 80 °C
     Store in an appropriate box
   • **MNC for RNA analysis:**
     Centrifuge 500 G / 10 min / laboratory temperature
     Waste supernatant
     Label the cryotube by the code due to the evidence paper
     Freeze the sediment in cryotube to − 80 °C
     Store in an appropriate box
- **MNC in Carnoy’s fixative for FISH:**
  - Centrifuge 500 G / 10 min / laboratory temperature
  - Waste supernatant
  - Add a redundant amount of the Carnoy’s solution
  - Resuspend thoroughly
  - Incubate for 1 hour
  - Centrifuge 500 G / 10 min / laboratory temperature
  - Waste supernatant
  - Add the Carnoy’s solution 1,5 – 2 ml
  - Resuspend thoroughly
  - Label the cryotube by the code due to the evidence paper
  - Freeze to – 20 °C
  - Store in an appropriate box
Recommendations for FISH in multiple myeloma

These recommendations arose from a workshop organised for the European Myeloma Network, held at the Royal Marsden Hospital, London on March 11th 2005. 31 European laboratories were represented at the meeting.

These recommendations are intended to apply only to newly diagnosed cases of myeloma or frank relapse cases. The use of FISH to monitor response to high dose therapy, or to study diseases such as MGUS or primary amyloidosis where only a small proportion of the plasma cells may belong to the abnormal clone is still considered to be a research tool, and different criteria may need to be used.

The purpose of the workshop was to agree rules for FISH in myeloma but consideration was also given to conventional cytogenetic studies. It was agreed that these should not be discouraged but that, especially in a multi-centre setting, full cytogenetic studies were often impracticable due to the poor quality of samples (see below) and the poor ratio of number of man-hours required for the analysis to the number of patients on whom an abnormal result is obtained.

It was felt very strongly that much still needs to be learned about the significance of chromosome abnormalities in myeloma. For this reason, FISH results should not yet be used to make treatment decisions, except in the context of a clinical trial.

Material for FISH.
All laboratories, particularly those involved in multi-centre studies, confirmed that there are major problems with the quality of the bone marrow aspirates received for FISH studies; these frequently contain drastically fewer plasma cells than the corresponding smear used for morphological assessment. It is difficult for clinicians to accept that a normal FISH result from a patient that had 80% plasma cells on the morphology slide could be meaningless, but that is the reality. Modern haematologists should accept that diagnosis and management depends on a multidisciplinary approach so that it is important to ensure that there is suitable material for all necessary tests, and morphology is not supreme. Clinicians should therefore be encouraged to send part of the first draw of the aspirate for FISH studies, and should certainly be told that the needle must be repositioned for further aspiration, rather than simply continuing to withdraw marrow blood from the initial puncture site.

Even if these measures are put in place, many samples will still have relatively low plasma cell percentages. For this reason it is not acceptable to report FISH results in myeloma without either concentrating the plasma cells or employing some means of plasma cell identification so that only these cells are scored.

Timing of samples.
It is important that aspirates are processed as soon as possible if FISH is to be acceptable in myeloma. Processing, either by purification or by simultaneous staining of cytoplasmic immunoglobulin with FISH (clgFISH) is time-consuming. It is therefore strongly recommended that marrow aspirates are not performed on a Friday.
To purify or not.
The benefits and disadvantages of plasma cell purification versus clgFISH primarily apply to associated studies: In general the expense of purification is best justified in the context of plasma cell banking. Johannes Drach reported on a comparison of the two methods which showed no major difference in the results obtained and therefore we recommend that each laboratory chooses the method that is most suitable for their circumstances.

Choice of purification method.
All laboratories at the meeting that had experience of plasma cell purification were using Miltenyi Biotec CD138 magnetic bead separations. One laboratory also had experience of the StemCell Technologies system and reported that there were differences in yield and purity. However, these should not affect the FISH results therefore the choice of purification method can be left to the individual laboratory. Density gradient separation is generally recommended prior to purification, rather than red cell lysis on the grounds of cost; the majority of mature neutrophils will be lost in the former procedure which reduces the quantity of beads necessary for purification. It is stressed that the purified sample MUST be checked for the proportion of plasma cells, as poor initial samples can lead to relatively low proportions in the final cell suspension. Either morphology or immunostaining (flow) can be used to assess the final plasma cell percentage.

Slide making for purified plasma cells
The purified plasma cell suspension can either be put directly on to slides by cytopin, can be fixed directly in 3:1 methanol:acetic acid and either dropped on to slides or stored as a frozen cell suspension, or can be treated with 0.075M KCl and fixed with methanol acetic acid as for standard cytogenetic preparation. The last method produces bare nuclei which can either be dropped on to slides immediately or stored at -20°C until required. (NB one group had had problems with long term storage of some samples, so individual laboratories should check the reliability of storage). It was not considered that any of these methods would significantly affect the final result.

Simultaneous plasma cell identification + FISH.
It is recommended that immunostaining for light chains is used to identify the plasma cells. This gives a much stronger signal than CD138 and is also more likely to identify only the malignant clone if there is contamination with normal plasma cells, although it was stressed that the level of such contamination is extremely low at diagnosis.

Slide making for clgFISH
clgFISH can be used on marrow aspirate smears but only if these are very fresh. It is therefore recommended that wherever possible the cells are subjected to red cell lysis or density gradient centrifugation and the resultant suspension fixed in 3:1 methanol:acetic acid. This fixed suspension can then be dropped directly on to slides or stored at -20°C until required.

Cut-off levels for a positive result.
Myeloma FISH is known to be particularly difficult (ref Paris workshop), thought to be due to the additional problems posed by the paraprotein. Ideal control material is difficult to come by. For these reasons the workshop recommends relatively conservative uniform cut-off levels. These are roughly based on the levels found in a number of laboratories using the mean +3SD of 5-10 controls. In practice, there was considerable concern that results just above the actual mean+3SD were artefactual.
**Thus the following levels are recommended:**

For dual fusion, break-apart or numerical gains  
For deletions or single fusion results with dual fusion probes

10%

20%

(Comments on this, in particular, please. We did not mention the cut-off for gains. We did mention the problems with loss of one of the fusions but I do not remember that we set an acceptable cut-off. Is this right?)

Any laboratory setting up myeloma FISH should ensure that their results are compatible with these cut-off levels.

Laboratories with very low mean $+$ 3SD for deletions may wish to consider results in the 10 – 20% range to be borderline for their own records but they should not be reported to clinicians as positive.

**Control probes**

It is recommended that a control probe is used in all experiments where deletions are expected. The type of this probe should be the same as the type of the probe under test; i.e. a centromere probe is not a suitable control for a locus-specific probe. It is not recommended that 13q14 and p53 probes are used to control for each other because of the difficulty of interpreting cases where both are deleted. It is not felt necessary to use control probes for break-apart, dual fusion or trisomy probes as residual non-plasma cells can be used to assess hybridisation efficiency for these.

**Number of analysts**

Provided the previous recommendations are followed all or most of cells being scored will be plasma cells and most of the important abnormalities are likely to be present in the majority of these. Thus a single experienced analyst is considered adequate to examine the majority of cases. However, results should always be checked where there is an equivocal signal pattern or where purified plasma cells make up less than 30% of the cells. Smaller labs are recommended to use 2 analysts with a third to check any results with a discrepancy of $>$5%.

**Number of cell to score**

It is recommended that 100 cells be scored wherever possible. In exceptional circumstances an abnormal result as few as 20 confirmed plasma cells is acceptable if at least 15 are abnormal.

**Abnormalities to test for**

It is recommended that all labs should test for deletion 13, t(11;14) and t(4;14) and include p53 deletion wherever possible. Where material is limited it is often possible to re-probe slides to increase the number of results obtained. (In practice, several labs are testing for more than this but these four abnormalities are thought to be most practical in a diagnostic setting). Chromosome 13 results must not be reported as normal in the absence of an indication of the ploidy of the sample, as most near tetraploid karyotypes will have deletion of two copies of 13 and therefore give an apparently normal result. The simplest way to obtain this information is to use the results from the t(4;14) and t(11;14) probes; near tetraploid cases are likely to have at least two copies of each of the 4 and 11 probes, although they may not have four IgH signals. Some laboratories prefer to use an IgH break apart probe to decide whether or not to use the t(11;14) and t(4;14) probes. If this is done, they need to remember also to test all cases that do not have an IgH rearrangement but have two copies of the 13q14 probe for t(4;14) and t(11;14) to establish ploidy status.
Probes to use

13q

There was no consensus on which probe to use to detect deletion 13, apart from that it should be in band 13q14. Those who had been using a 13q14 and 13q34 probe confirmed published results that ~90% of deletion cases have lost the whole chromosome. (Peter Leibish: can we quote your results from array CGH? eg: Results of array CGH experiments in Ulm show that in the few cases with deletion rather than monosomy 13, there is no consistent minimal region of deletion). Two groups had a comparison of different 13q14 probes, one had <1% discrepancy between RBI and D13S319 in more than 1100 cases and the other had ~1% discrepancy between RBI, D13S319 and D13S25 in over 350 cases. It was therefore agreed that any of these 3 probes is acceptable for testing for 13q deletion. Abbott/Vysis and Qbiogene commercial 13q14 probes are known to be useful in testing for 13q deletions.

IgH translocation probes

Many labs had experience of the Abbott/Vysis probes for IgH break-apart and specific translocations. These were all considered acceptable as they cover large areas on the partner chromosomes. We were unable to endorse any other commercial probes due to lack of experience, but any commercial probes giving consistent strong signals are likely to be acceptable. The difference between the Abbott/Vysis dual fusion t(11;14) and dual fusion TX t(11;14) probes was not considered significant. Laboratories using home grown probes are urged to ensure that they cover a large enough area on the donor chromosome: t(4;14) detection in particular is prone to underestimation if the area on 4 encompasses only FGFR3 and not MMSET due to the frequent loss of the derived chromosome 4. Laboratories may employ a hierarchical approach, attempting t(11;14) FISH first and only performing t(4;14) FISH if that is negative (but see requirement for ploidy estimation for “normal” 13q results above).

Abnormal results for the t(11;14) and t(4;14) should state the number of fusion signals seen.

It should be noted that rearrangement of IgH with something other than CCND1 or FGFR3/MMSET cannot be reliably extrapolated from these results as loss of one or other derived chromosome is common. This would result in an apparently normal pattern of 2 IgH signals, despite a translocation being present. The presence of 3 IgH signals but no fusion with the t(11;14) and t(4;14) probes probably indicates an alternative IgH translocation rather than trisomy 14 but no lab had data on this. (Forgot to ask at the meeting. Can anyone make a useful comment?).

p53

The majority of labs are using the Abbott/Vysis p53 probe. We had insufficient evidence to know whether any other commercial or home-grown probe would give different results.

Other abnormalities

Some labs are testing for other things as well, the most common of which are t(6;14)(p21;q32), t(14;16) and t(14;20). The use of the Abbott/Vysis 5, 9, 15 probe set is encouraged to see whether hyperdiploidy assessed from these probes in combination with the other recommended abnormalities is good enough to be used as a prognostic marker.
**Reporting results**

There was strong feeling that it is important to know the proportion of plasma cells with the abnormality (particularly for deletion 13). However, there is insufficient evidence yet for the level that should be set to determine prognostic significance. It is therefore recommended that for the present reported results state the percentage of plasma cells with the abnormality.

The workshop did not endorse the use of ISCN 1995 for reporting results as it was felt; that clinicians find this confusing. If laboratories are required to use this for internal reasons they must ensure that there is a very simple clear interpretation given.

Thus results reported to the clinician should be expressed as clearly as possible and must state the percentage of plasma cells involved and what method was used for plasma cell identification e.g.

```
“13q14       deleted (90%)
4p16         t(4;14) single fusion (96%)
11q13        normal
17p13 (p53)  normal
```

FISH on purified plasma cells identified a t(4;14) in 96% of plasma cells, but only one fusion was seen using a dual fusion probe, suggesting loss of one half of the translocation. From published results this is most likely to be loss of the derived 14 carrying the IgH/FGFR3 fusion. There was also a deletion of chromosome 13 seen in 90% of the plasma cells, but no abnormality of CCND1 or p53 was detected. Although the t(4;14) and deletion 13 have been associated with a poor prognosis in MM the data is not yet good enough to use these results for treatment decisions outwith the context of a clinical trial.

**Further studies**

Laboratories taking part in the workshop will try to pool results obtained using these criteria on an annual basis, to get figures for Europe-wide incidence of these abnormalities. Any other laboratories wishing to take part in this exercise should contact Fiona Ross (fiona.ross@salisbury.nhs.uk). It is hoped to send control material to participating laboratories to ensure that results from different labs really are comparable.
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